

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.nspta.gue.

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/766,362   | 01/19/2001     | Solomon S. Steiner   | PDC 119                 | 8907             |
| 23579 7  | 590 12/10/2001 |                      |                         |                  |
| PATREA L. PABST HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP SUITE 2000, ONE ATLANTIC CENTER 1201 WEST PEACHTREE STREET, N.E. ATLANTA, GA 30309-3400 |                |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
|  |                |                      | SHEIKH, HUMERA N        |                  |
|  |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                |                      | 1615                    | -                |
|  |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/10/2001 | 7                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u> </u>  |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.         | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Astinu Communication   | 09/766,362              | STEINER ET AL.                                       |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner                | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Humera N Sheikh         | 1615   |  |  |  |  |
| - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24.5</u>   | September 2001 .        |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>  | is action is non-final. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5   | 5) Notice of Informal   | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/766,362

Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Acknowledgement is made of the December fee filed 03/26/01 and the IDS filed 09/24/01.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4,6-11, 13-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hettche (US Pat.No.5,164,194).

Hettche teaches the use of medicament composition for the nasal administration of a drug to a patient comprising azelastine in a dry powder form having a maximum particle size of 20 microns, suitable for local nasal application, which additionally overcomes the issues of bitter aftertastes and somnolence (see reference column 1, lines 34-68); (column 2, lines 1-34); (column 5, lines 18-53); (column 6, lines 1-35); (column 8, lines 1-46).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5,12 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hettche in view of Feldstein et al. (US Pat.No.5,352,461).

Hettche teaches the use of all the claimed limitations but is deficient only in the sense that he does not explicitly teach the use of the particular diketopiperazine polymer in his formulation. However, Feldstein et al. suggests the use of a drug delivery system in which diketopiperazine is used to form microparticles encapsulating a drug to be delivered (see reference column 2, lines 40-52); (column 3, lines 12-23); (column 5, lines 36-46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use any synthetic polymer formulation with the combination of a drug to obtain the desired nasally administered composition as instantly claimed invention. The expected result would be a (dry powdered) formulation for the local and symptomatic treatment of rhinal disease.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Humera Sheikh whose telephone number is (703) 308-4429. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00A.M. to 4:00P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

THURMAN K PAGE
UPERVSORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600